6\1 6 4			
O' Was	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
N 1 2 2007	l .	AGEE ET AL.	
\ 3	09/878,789	Art Unit	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		
HADE	Blanche Wong	2616	Idross
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence at	10,000
The MAILING DATE of this community		OD TUIDTY (30) DAYS
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standy reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	t 1.136(a). In no event, however,	(6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this	
Status			
Becausive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u>8 July 2006</u> .		
1) ★ Responsive to communication (2b) ★ 2b) ★ 2b) ★	This action is non-final.		he merits is
2a) 11115 action is 1 1111 to 1	wance except for form	al matters, prosecution as to t	ne mento le
 Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und 	ler Ex parte Quayle, 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Closed in accordance with the pressure	,		
Disposition of Claims			
1157 Olaire (a) 1 16 18-41 44-179 and 182-187	is/are pending in the ap	plication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from considera	ion.	
- 57 or in-(a) 412 and 114 is/are allowed.		•	
=	183 and 185-187 is/are	rejected.	
	1, 112 and 104 Israid C.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
to restriction a	and/or election requiren	nent.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction s			
Application Papers			
L. L. L. Ho Eve	aminer.		·
1	re. all l'accepted of p) $igtie$ objected to by the Examin	ier.
10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 Jurie 2001 Isla Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a	a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of the control of the c	the Examiner Note the	attached Office Action or form	n PTO-152.
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	TIC EXCITING		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doce	iments have been rece	eived.	
Certified copies of the priority doci Certified copies of the priority doci	uments have been rece	eived in Application No	<u>-</u> :
2. Certified copies of the priority docided as the certified copies of the cer	a priority documents h	ave been received in this Natio	onal Stage
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	Duranu (DCT Rule 17 2	(a))	
application from the International	Bureau (PC) Nuie 17.2	onies not received.	
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	ralist of the certified of	Spies her receives	
			•
Attachment(s)	۰,۳	Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	0.40)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		Notice of Informal Patent Application	n
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	Other:	
U.S. Detect and Trademark Office		Part of Paper No //	Mail Date 20061005
DTOL 326 (Box 09.06)	Office Action Summary	rait of raper No./	

George S. Cole, Esq. 650-322-7760 (TEL); 650-322-6117 (FAX)

495 Seaport Court, Suite 101 Redwood City, CA 94063

Commissioner For Patents

Attn: Examiner Blanche Wong, Art Group 2616

. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Jan. 12th, 2007

Re: Application 09/878,789 Agee et al.

Dear Examiner Wong:

Enclosed is the copy of your First Office Action of 10/09/06 for the above-numbered patent application, and the Response thereto, including specifically the Claims Listing. Many thanks for informing me that, due to the overlap between the interview and the issuance of that Office Action, an Examiner's Amendment was not feasible and that I needed to file this Response.

I hope that this Response, and these claims, will now be approved. If you want the MS Word files of either or both of the Specification and Claims Listing, or anything else to assist you in your task, please let me know and I will be glad to send such by email.

As you know, we had a very significant and fruitful telephonic interview (I spoke with both you and your supervisor, Mr. Huy Vu). At the end of that process, on Oct. 28th, 2006 I sent an informal copy of the claims text that we had mutually resolved and that you and he had indicated were ready for approval.

In preparing this response, I made changes to that text, detailed as follows.

The first set of changes were made to conform with PTO requirements stated in the Office Action:

- First, the missing words "spatially diverse" were added in the seventh line (Line 9) of Claim 1, to solve the problem of the missing antecedent, putting the text of Claim 1 in conformity with that in the other independent Claims 2, 41, 101, 108, 113, 185;
- The acronym "SINR" was spelled out in Claim 3 (it first appears there, not in Claim 2);
- The parentheses in Claim 32 were removed;
- The misspelling of "heterogenous" was corrected in Claim 38;
- The duplicated line "A wireless electronic communications network" was removed in Claim 101;

and,

• A colon replaced the comma after the word "comprising in Claim 185.

I then found the additional typographical errors, which are specifically listed also on Page 11 of the Response:

GSC/ms

- The lack of a missing space in "diversity capability" was corrected in Claim 41;
- The repetition of the word "wherein" was eliminated in Claim 59;
- The lack of a missing space in "Amethod" was corrected in Claim 72;
- The misspelling of "futher" for "further" was corrected in Claim 109; and,
- In each of Claims 150 and 151, the misspelling of "reliability" for "reliability" and the extra period at the end of a sentence were both corrected.

I fervently hope that these changes – and in fact, this Response – are seen as a sincere effort to meet our joint goal and to present only an application and claims that are, truly, in as close and accurate a condition, ready for issuance, as I can provide.

Sincerely Yours:

reldible

EXPRESS MAIL CERTIFICATE

"Express Mail" mailing label	No: EQ 338677770 US
Date of Deposit:	Jan. 12th, 2007
I hereby certify that the	e following documents:
• Cover	r letter to Examiner;
• Copy	of Office Action;
• Respo	onse to Office Action with
	Petition for Extension of Time;
·	Deposit Account Authorization; and,
]	Duplicate of Account Authorization.
• Check	for extension of time;
• Claim	s Listing (changes marked);
and,	
• a conv	of this Express Mail Certificate:

are being deposited in a single envelope with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on the date indicated above and such envelope is addressed to:

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Attn: Examiner Blanche Wong, Art Unit 2616 Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

George S. Cole, Esq.

Signature of person mailing documents)

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:		
	☐ BLACK BORDERS	
	☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES	
	☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING	
	☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING	
	☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES	
	☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS	
	☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS	
	LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	
	☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY	
	□ OTHER:	

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.